UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/821,423	04/09/2004	Thomas H. Walters	702.345	1221	
7590 06/22/2007 Devon A. Rolf GARMIN INTERNATIONAL, INC.			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, CUONG H		
1200 East 151st Street Olathe, KS 66062			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	-		3661		
			, MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/821,423	WALTERS ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
CUONG H. NGUYEN	3661			

Before the Filing of an Appear Brief	Examiner	Altoint				
•	CUONG H. NGUYEN	3661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
	*** ^ A T ^ N N ^ N N N N F N F	ALLOWATINOS.				
THE REPLY FILED 14 June 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANDE. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which this application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in corn of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must	t be filed within the time period set	forth in 37 CFR 41.3	r(a).			
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below), (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice 37 Tolling and 1.121.						
S Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be	e allowable it subtitutes in a sign	ate, timely filed amend	ment canceling			
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): how the new or amended claims would be rejected is The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	a) \(\square\) will not be entered, or b) \(\square\)	will be entered and a	an explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appendix and/or appellant fails to provide a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections are appeal and other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections are appeal and other evidence failed to overcome all rejections are appeal and other evidence failed to overcome all rejections are appeal and other evidence failed to overcome all rejections are appeal and other evidence failed to overcome all rejections are appeal and other evidence failed to overcome all rejections are appeal and other evidence failed to overcome all rejections are appeal and other evidence failed to overcome all rejections are appeal a						
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earner processed. 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen 		0				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement 13. Other:		CUONG H. NO Primary Exami	awylw Soyen ner			
		Art Unit: 3661				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the broadest claim of the invention is the independent claim 1, it does not have a structural relationship between 2 critical components of a device (having both a triangulation positioning functionality); it claims that there are "communications" between these components; however, this "communication" is very broad, and vague; therefore, many different interpretations could be made using the cited references.